



25X1C8a

 paper on
gold transmitted to
DRL by  in
August 1964 plus
DRL comments on the
paper — both memos
filed in SA/DL's
1965 folder.

25X1A9a

LONDON

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION AND ACTION			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	Chief, DIF	RMS	7 Dec.
2	Chief, MRA	SWP	7 Dec.
3	SA/RR		
4	SA/RR		
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
[Redacted]			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	

CONTROL NO. TES. 4633-64a 4633-a/64

REFERRED TO OFFICE	RECEIVED			RELEASED		SEEN BY	
	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE
SA/RR							

Handle Via Indicated Controls

TALENT-KEYHOLE

.....

.....

.....

.....

WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U. S. Code Title 18, Sections 793 and 794. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to TALENT-KEYHOLE Control System.

TCS 3752/64
encl 375

9 DEC 1964

Memorandum no. 6380-KIT

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED] 25X1A9a

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Memorandum

25X1A9a

Please pass the attached [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

Attachment:

TCS 4633a/64 + TCS 4633a/64

TOP

~~TOP SECRET RUFT~~

ECR No. 46-3-04
Copy No. 3 46
Page No. 1 of 2

9 DEC 1964

Dear Jack,

25X1X7

I have done some further thinking on the agenda for a meeting on missile production and I would like to offer the attached as a substitute.

I think we should start with a discussion of facilities and methodology in order to form a framework for our discussions on estimates. A review of the facility information as a distinct entity is called for because of the nature of the information. Very few of the facilities can be directly associated with a particular system, and, therefore, review of the facilities related to each of the various systems would probably become redundant. Secondly, I think that the information on the relevant facilities is so good that it deserves a rather comprehensive exchange of interpretative views. This is particularly true of the test facilities on which I think we should discuss function, timing and place in the Soviet program.

25X1D3a

In discussing estimates for each missile system, I would prefer to start with the M/IRBM because one of the methodologies is founded on an analysis of the M/IRBM program and the Tuesday morning session could then logically flow into that system.

I put this agenda as a suggestion only. I think it necessary that the listed subjects be treated separately but I am not wedded to the order in which we attack them, nor to the

Reply received -

[Redacted]

6106 (IN 71894), 13 February 65

25X1A6a

~~TOP SECRET RUFT~~

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

~~TOP SECRET~~

TCN No. 6411-a/64
Copy No. 3 of 6
Page No. 1 of 2

25X1X7 **Proposed Agenda**
Working Party on
Soviet Missile Protection

Monday

Administration

A. Facilities

Final Assembly

Rocket Engine and Liquid Test Facilities

Solid Propellants

Tuesday

B. Methodology for Production Estimates

Range Data and Deployment Information

Floor Space

C. ICBM/Myrstar and Soudal

D. ICBM

Wednesday

E. ICBM

SS-6 and 8

SS-7

SS-9

SS-10

25X1X7

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET RUFF~~

Form No. 100-64
Cont. No. 3 of 6
Page 2 of 2

25X1D3a

suggested component responsible for introducing each subject. The
discussion of facilities will entail more participation by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] but I think this can be held to one each from [REDACTED]

25X1D3a

25X1X7

25X1D3a

I will let you know on the possible size of our delegation
after we have discussed the meeting in our working group.

Regards,

25X1A9a

[REDACTED]

Attachment:

25X1X7 Proposed Agenda for
[REDACTED] Working Party

Distribution:

Orig. and 2 - SA/IS

1 - MIA

1 - D/T

1 - T/SA

CIB/MIA/D/T/SA [REDACTED] 1007/10/25 (4 Dec. 64)

25X1A9a

~~TOP SECRET RUFF~~

ECF No. 4633-2/64
Page No. 2 of 2
Copy No. 3 of 6

Thursday

25X1X7

F. Short Range, SAM

G. Air-to-Surface, Cruise

Friday

Review of Minutes and Findings